## Fiscal Year 2013 Farm & Ranch Lands Protection Program Michigan Ranking Form

Landowner Name:	
Address: State Date: Easement Acres:	z Zip: County:
Date: Easement Acres:	Total Points:
Completed by:	
an overall score for the parcel based on the f have been ranked, the FRPP Coordinator will	or FRPP funding consideration will determine ollowing ranking criteria. After the parcels I review the ranking for each parcel. Parcels Conservationist will make funding selections
	ced on productive farmland that has unique
growing characteristics as demonstrated	by the presence of Prime, Unique or
Statewide Importance soils.	
Formula: Total Acre of Prime, Unique of Translation	
	rcel Acres (May 25 points) Reints
<ul><li>(Score must be greater than 13 points)</li><li>2) Proximity of the subject parcel to exist</li></ul>	
	lines. Provide documentation, including a
	cribing the location of utilities in relation to
the nominated property. (Max 6 point	
Existing or proposed sewer and/or water	1 pts
are within ½ mile.	
Existing or proposed sewer and/or water	4 pts
are more than ½ mile but less than 2 miles.	
Existing or proposed sewer and/or water	6 pts
are 2 miles but less than 5 miles.	
Existing or proposed sewer and/or water	0 pts.
are more than 5 miles away.	
3) Proximity to freeway interchange.	D : 4
(Max 2 points)	Points
Freeway interchange is within ½ mile.	0 pts
Freeway interchange is more than ½ mile but less than 5 miles.	2 pts
Freeway interchange is 5 miles or more	0 pts
away.	o pus
4) Road frontage (paved or gravel) adjac	ent to parcel.
(Max 8 points)	Points
No road frontage.	0 pts
Road frontage less than ¼ of a mile.	2 pts
Road frontage is ¼ mile or more but less	4 pts
than ½ mile.	
Road frontage is ½ mile or more but less ¾	6 pts

of a mile.	
Road frontage is ¾ mile or more.	8 pts
5) Is any portion of the subject parcel er	rolled in the Commercial Forest Act (part
512 of NREPA), Hunter Access Prog	ram, or will the conservation easement deed
provide for the non-motorized recreat	ional use by members of the public?
(Max 2 points)	Points
Yes	2 pts
No	0 pts
6) Master Plan Status	
Provide documentation from master p	~ <u>-</u>
(Max 10 points)	Points
The parcel is designated for agricultural use	10 pts
in the Master Plan and the plan is less than	
5 years old.	
The parcel is designated for agricultural use	5pts
in the Master Plan and the plan is 5 years	
or older.	
7) Is the parcel designated in the master	
•	ntation from master plan showing parcel
designation.	
(Max 10 points)	Points
Yes	10 pts
No	0 pts
0, 5, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	1 C D1 1 LL '- D 1 - (DLD)
	de for Planned Unit Development (PUD) or
cluster development?	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
cluster development? (Max 3 points)	Points
cluster development? (Max 3 points) Yes	Points
cluster development? (Max 3 points)	Points
cluster development? (Max 3 points)  Yes No	Points  3 pts 0 pts
cluster development? (Max 3 points)  Yes  No  9) The parcel is located in an area where	Points 3 pts 0 pts the local governing body has established a
cluster development? (Max 3 points)  Yes  No  9) The parcel is located in an area where purchase or transfer of development in	Points  3 pts 0 pts the local governing body has established a ights program to protect farmland?
cluster development? (Max 3 points)  Yes  No  9) The parcel is located in an area where purchase or transfer of development r (Max 5 points)	Points
cluster development? (Max 3 points)  Yes No  9) The parcel is located in an area where purchase or transfer of development r (Max 5 points)  Yes	Points  3 pts 0 pts the local governing body has established a ights program to protect farmland? Points  5 pts
cluster development? (Max 3 points)  Yes  No  9) The parcel is located in an area where purchase or transfer of development in (Max 5 points)  Yes  No	Points  3 pts 0 pts  the local governing body has established a ights program to protect farmland?  Points  5 pts 0 pts
cluster development? (Max 3 points)  Yes  No  9) The parcel is located in an area where purchase or transfer of development r (Max 5 points)  Yes  No  10) Proximity of parcel to other permane	Points  3 pts 0 pts  the local governing body has established a ights program to protect farmland?  Points  5 pts 0 pts
cluster development? (Max 3 points)  Yes  No  9) The parcel is located in an area where purchase or transfer of development in (Max 5 points)  Yes  No  10) Proximity of parcel to other permane installations.	Points  3 pts 0 pts the local governing body has established a ights program to protect farmland?  Points  5 pts 0 pts ntly protected land, including military
cluster development? (Max 3 points)  Yes  No  9) The parcel is located in an area where purchase or transfer of development in (Max 5 points)  Yes  No  10) Proximity of parcel to other permane installations. (Max 15 points)	Points Po
cluster development? (Max 3 points)  Yes  No  9) The parcel is located in an area where purchase or transfer of development r (Max 5 points)  Yes  No  10) Proximity of parcel to other permane installations. (Max 15 points)  Parcel is adjacent to protected land.	Points  3 pts 0 pts the local governing body has established a ights program to protect farmland? Points  5 pts 0 pts ntly protected land, including military  Points  15 pts
cluster development? (Max 3 points)  Yes  No  9) The parcel is located in an area where purchase or transfer of development in (Max 5 points)  Yes  No  10) Proximity of parcel to other permane installations. (Max 15 points)  Parcel is adjacent to protected land.  Parcel is not adjacent to but within ½ mile	Points Po
cluster development? (Max 3 points)  Yes  No  9) The parcel is located in an area where purchase or transfer of development r (Max 5 points)  Yes  No  10) Proximity of parcel to other permane installations. (Max 15 points)  Parcel is adjacent to protected land.	Points  3 pts 0 pts the local governing body has established a ights program to protect farmland? Points  5 pts 0 pts ntly protected land, including military  Points  15 pts

18) Percent decrease of farm and ranch land acreage in the county that the parcel is		
located using the last two USDA Census of Agriculture. (See Appendix A)		
(Max 4 points)	Points	
Decrease more than 15%	0 pts	
Decrease from 15% - 10.1%	2 pts	
Decrease from 10% - 5.1%	4 pts	
Decrease from 5% - 0.1%	2 pts	
Decrease of 0%	0 pts	
<b>19</b> ) Percent population growth in the cou	nty that the parcel is located in as	
documented by the U.S. Census (www	w.census.gov). (See Appendix B)	
(Max 4 points)	Points	
Growth rate less than 1.0%	0 pts	
Growth rate of 1.0% - 3.0%	4 pts	
Growth rate of 3.1% - 5.0%	2 pts	
Growth rate more than 5.0%	0 pts	
<b>20</b> ) Population density (per square mile) of the county that the parcel is located in as		
documented by the most recent U.S. Census. (See Appendix B)		
(Max 4 points)	Points	
Density less 175	0 pts	
Density of 175 - 350	4 pts	
Density of 351 - 525	2 pts	
Density more than 525	0 pts	

Grand Total	(Copy to front page)	
Entity Signature		Date

Appendix A (Average Farm Size & Percent Decrease in Farm Land)

Average Farm Size	Decrease in Farm
	Land (%)
	0
	0
	0
	0
	0
	0
	0
144	7.5
219	0
103	8.6
130	2.9
222	1.4
194	5.0
235	0
123	0
137	5.0
247	0
152	0
221	0
65	60
268	0
155	13.2
181	6.7
136	9.4
131	9.5
121	6.1
93	2.9
120	0
277	0.7
161	2.0
153	8.6
316	0
197	0
202	0
151	0
250	11.6
193	0
	5.5
	2.2
106	2.7
	1.9
	(acres)       162       213       172       150       164       194       245       144       219       103       130       222       194       235       123       137       247       152       221       65       268       155       181       136       131       121       93       120       277       161       153       316       197       202       151       250       193       154       170

Keweenaw	200	0
Lake	115	8.6
Lapeer	134	6.8
Leelanau	124	10.7
	207	1.3
Lenawee	121	0
Livingston	215	
Luce		14.1
Mackinac	244	0
Macomb	131	8.8
Manistee	129	0.9
Marquette	209	0
Mason	170	4.0
Mecosta	136	4.2
Menominee	247	0
Midland	159	0
Missaukee	226	9.6
Monroe	186	4.4
Montcalm	198	4.9
Montmorency	156	0
Muskegon	152	0
Newaygo	140	1.5
Oakland	55	20.6
Oceana	190	3.2
Ogemaw	190	10.2
Ontonagon	296	8.4
Osceola	148	0
Oscoda	129	0
Otsego	185	2.9
Ottawa	118	0
Presque Isle	246	0
Roscommon	88	35.6
Saginaw	212	0.1
St. Clair	150	11.9
St. Joseph	209	6.6
Sanilac	272	4.1
Schoolcraft	405	0
Shiawassee	209	3.5
Tuscola	250	0
Van Buren	150	0
Washtenaw	128	4.8
Wayne	56	18.8
Wexford	104	16.1
WEXIOIU	104	10.1

**Appendix B (Population Growth Rate & Population Density)** 

County	Population	Population
	<b>Growth Rate</b>	Density
Alcona	-1.5	17.4
Alger	-2.5	10.7
Allegan	6.7	127.7
Alpena	-5.1	54.5
Antrim	5.1	48.5
Arenac	-3.8	47.1
Baraga	-2.3	9.7
Barry	4.3	102.1
Bay	-2.4	248
Benzie	9.5	49.8
Berrien	-1.8	284.5
Branch	0.9	90.2
Calhoun	-1.0	194.7
Cass	-1.1	103.8
Charlevoix	0.3	62.6
Cheboygan	1.2	37
Chippewa	1.0	24.7
Clare	-1.8	55.1
Clinton	7.7	113.3
Crawford	1.9	25.6
Delta	-3.0	32.9
Dickinson	-1.9	35.8
Eaton	3.6	179.8
Emmet	6.2	67.2
Genesee	-0.3	681.9
Gladwin	1.0	51.3
Gogebic	-6.2	15.8
Grand	10.1	167
Traverse		
Gratiot	-0.3	74.2
Hillsdale	0.5	77.7
Houghton	-2.3	35.6
Huron	-7.7	43.1
Ingham	0	499.5
Ionia	4.1	107.3
Iosco	-4.0	49.8
Iron	-7.5	11.3
Isabella	5.3	110.3
Jackson	2.9	224.2
Kalamazoo	2.8	424.7
Kalkaska	3.7	29.5
Kent	5.2	670.8

Keweenaw	-6.5	4.3
Lake	-1.6	20
Lapeer	4.7	134.4
_	3.7	
Leelanau		60.6
Lenawee	2.4	131.8
Livingston	16.7	276.1
Luce	-4.2	7.8
Mackinac	-8.9	11.7
Macomb	5.4	1640.5
Manistee	1.1	45.1
Marquette	0.9	35.5
Mason	1.7	57.1
Mecosta	3.8	73
Menominee	-4.3	24.3
Midland	-0.1	159
Missaukee	3.4	25.5
Monroe	5.3	264.8
Montcalm	2.7	86.5
Montmorency	0.1	18.8
Muskegon	2.5	334.3
Newaygo	2.7	56.8
Oakland	1.0	1368.6
Oceana	3.4	49.7
Ogemaw	-1.4	38.4
Ontonagon	-10.8	6
Osceola	-0.2	41
Oscoda	-5.1	16.7
Otsego	4.0	45.3
Ottawa	8.8	421.3
Presque Isle	-3.9	21.8
Roscommon	0.2	48.8
Saginaw	-3.7	259.6
St. Clair	3.6	226.7
St. Joseph	0	123.9
Sanilac	-2.0	46.2
Schoolcraft	-4.3	7.6
Shiawassee	0.1	133.1
Tuscola	-2.5	71.7
Van Buren	2.2	124.8
Washtenaw	8.4	454.8
Wayne	-3.7	3356.1
Wexford	4.3	53.9
WEXIOIU	4.3	33.9

The USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.